



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/654,667

09/04/2003

Kenneth Gould

2816-026

5369

22208 7590 12/04/2009
The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
SUITE 1000
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

JAKOVAC, RYAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2445

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/654,667	Applicant(s) GOULD ET AL.	
	Examiner RYAN J. JAKOVAC	Art Unit 2445	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 October 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 36-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 36-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed 10/02/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2445

4. Claims 36-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2007/0214083 to Jones et al (hereinafter Jones) in view of US 2004/0111519 to Fu et al (hereinafter Fu).

Regarding claim 36, 47, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches a system for providing data filtering from a cable modem termination system (CMTS) in a cable data network comprising:

the CMTS, wherein the CMTS comprises a packet counter, wherein the packet counter determines a number of packets sent to a subscriber device from the CMTS (herein, "downstream packets") and a number of packets originating from the subscriber device and sent to the CMTS (herein, "upstream packets"), and a data gateway agent (Jones, [0072], the services granted to the subscriber terminal are monitored including counting the number of packets transmitted or received (i.e., upstream and downstream). See Jones fig. 1-2.);

a datastore accessible to the data gateway agent for storing a data transfer rule selected by a subscriber (Jones, [0072], the policy decision point stores rules about the data transfer. A threshold value is used and monitored regarding the subscriber packet transmission. See also, [0035-0036].),

wherein the gateway agent comprises instructions that cause the CMTS to: receive a packet prior to receipt of the packet by the packet counter; access the data transfer rule stored in the datastore (Jones, [0035-0036], [0072], the subscriber terminal monitors packet count and based on a threshold of data transfer enacts rules regarding the subscriber.);

Jones does not expressly disclose wherein the selected data transfer rule comprises filtering criteria selected by the subscriber. However, Fu discloses wherein the selected data transfer rule comprises filtering criteria selected by the subscriber (Fu, [0025-0029], policies are defined by user. See also [0032].),

Fu discloses using the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule; forward the packet to the packet counter for counting when the packet does not violate the data transfer rule (Fu, [0052-0055], packets are counted according to network resources consumed by the terminal (i.e., blocked or denied packets would not be resources consumed by the terminal.));

send the subscriber device a notification message when the packet violates the data transfer rule (Fu, [0026-0028].)

wherein the notification message comprises a request for selection of an option from the group consisting of an option to allow the packet to be sent or received and an option to not allow the packet to be sent or received (Fu, [0026-0028], terminals are sent a notification message when a packet meets the filtering criteria. Terminals choose to allow or block the packet.);

receive a response from the subscriber device comprising the selected option (Fu, [0026-0028], denied packets.);

discard the packet when the selected option is to not allow the packet to be sent or received (Fu, [0026-0028], [0050-0055].); and

forward the packet to the packet counter for counting when the selected option is to allow the packet to be sent or received (Fu, [0026-0028], [0050-0055], packets are counted according to network resources consumed by the terminal.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Jones and Fu in order filter packets to a user terminal based on user preferences as well as to count packets to determine network resources utilized by the terminal (Fu, [0025-0029], [0050-0053].).

Regarding claim 37, 48, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the filtering criteria comprise content criteria and the instruction to use the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule comprises determining whether the packet comprises the content criteria (Fu, [0019-0028], [0032-0036], [0050-0055].).

Regarding claim 38, 49, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 37, The combination of Jones and Fu does not expressly disclose wherein the packet is an upstream packet and the content criteria are selected from the group consisting of subscriber personal information, a telephone number, a social security number, a driver's license number, a credit card number, and location information.

However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. See *In re Gulack*,

Art Unit: 2445

703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the nonfunctional descriptive material with the claimed invention because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the descriptive material does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 39, 50, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the filtering criteria comprise time criteria and wherein the instruction to use the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule comprises determining whether the packet is received at the CMTS during a preset time period (Fu, [0021-0025], time criteria applied to data filtering.).

Regarding claim 40, 51, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the filtering criteria comprise time criteria and packet protocol criteria (Fu, [0019-0022], packet protocol criteria.) and wherein the instruction to use the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule comprises determining whether the packet is a packet of a particular type that is received at the CMTS during a preset time period uses a particular protocol (Fu, [0019-0022], packet filtering in accordance with protocol criteria.).

Regarding claim 41, 52, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the filtering criteria comprise protocol criteria and the instruction to use the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule comprises determining whether the packet received at the CMTS uses a particular protocol (Fu, [0019-0022], packet filtering in accordance with protocol criteria.).

Regarding claim 42, 53, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the gateway agent further comprises instructions that cause the CMTS to:

receive an upstream packet (Fu, [0007-0012].);

create an entry in a connection database, wherein the entry in the connection database comprises an upstream packet identifier that identifies the upstream packet as originating from the subscriber device (Fu, [0032-0036], filter tables, for instance integrated filter tables, packet indication of source and/or destination.); and

receive a downstream packet, wherein the filtering criteria comprises the upstream packet identifier, and wherein the instruction to use the filtering criteria to determine whether the packet violates the data transfer rule comprises determining whether the downstream packet comprises the upstream packet identifier (Fu, [0032-0044].).

Regarding claim 43-46, 54-57, the combination of Jones and Fu teaches the system of claim 36, wherein the CMTS further comprises a billing agent and wherein the billing agent is configured to receive a subscriber count trigger and to transmit a count message to the subscriber comprising a current packet count upon the receipt of the subscriber count trigger (Fu, [0049-

Art Unit: 2445

0052], server is updated regarding network resources (i.e. packets) utilized by the terminal for accounting an billing purposes.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN J. JAKOVAC whose telephone number is (571)270-5003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ryan Jakovac/

/Rupal D. Dharia/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2400